



*Asesorías y Tutorías para la Investigación Científica en la Educación Puig-Salabarría S.C.
José María Pino Suárez 400-2 esq a Lerdo de Tejada, Toluca, Estado de México. 7223898475*

RFC: ATI120618V12

Revista Dilemas Contemporáneos: Educación, Política y Valores.

<http://www.dilemascontemporaneoseducacionpoliticayvalores.com/>

Año: VI

Número: Edición Especial

Artículo no.:16

Período: Julio, 2019.

TÍTULO: Impacto de la Guerra nuclear en el sur de Asia. Análisis.

AUTORES:

1. Ph.D. stud. Nawab Sher.
2. Ph.D. stud. Rizwana Jabeen.
3. Ph.D. Sayeda Daud.

RESUMEN: Durante la última década, los efectos de un intercambio nuclear entre Pakistán y la India han sido anticipados por un modelo de computadora, que predice los impactos físicos de este intercambio si se produce una guerra nuclear entre Pakistán y la India, o si incluso una ciudad importante en ambos países ha sido atacada, será devastada. El objetivo del estudio es encontrar la utilidad de las armas nucleares en la turbulenta región del sur de Asia entre Pakistán y la India. Ambos países, Pakistán y la India, son países democráticos, y en comparación con la India, la democracia de Pakistán es nueva, ya ha completado con éxito una década. Las elecciones se centran en lo más básico; por lo tanto, no es posible que un partido político discuta las políticas nucleares en medio de la cruzada electoral.

PALABRAS CLAVES: destrucción, Pakistán, inestabilidad, seguridad nuclear, NUKEMAP.

TITLE: Impact of nuclear war on South Asia: an analysis.

AUTHORS:

1. Ph.D. stud. Nawab Sher.
2. Ph.D. stud. Rizwana Jabeen.
3. Ph.D. Sayeda Daud.

ABSTRACT: During the past decade, effects of a nuclear exchange between Pakistan and India have been anticipated by a computer model. It predicts the physical impacts of the nuclear exchange, if a nuclear war occurred between Pakistan and India, or if even a major city in both countries has been attacked, will be devastated. The study is aimed to find the use of the nuclear weapons in the turbulent region of South Asia between Pakistan and India. As we know that both countries, Pakistan and India, are democratic countries, and as compare to India, Pakistan democracy is new, it has successfully completed a decade now. Elections center on bread-and-butter problems; so, it is not possible for a political party to discuss nuclear policies amid the election crusade.

KEY WORDS: destruction, Pakistan, instability, nuclear safety, NUKEMAP.

INTRODUCTION.

Even though South Asia has been called "the most dangerous place on the world" by previous USA President Bill Clinton and others, the discussion in those countries, and somewhere else, about the possible consequence of an atomic war between India and Pakistan has been amazingly quieted. What might these two persevering adversaries, to be more than a 6th population of the world, look like after an atomic war? According to the estimation of the experts, even though as a rule and theoretical terms, the results of atomic use in India and Pakistan, simulations and computer models demonstrate that the outcomes of even a "little" atomic war between Pakistan and India would be cataclysmic regarding lives will be lost, discharges of the radiations, and atmosphere repercussions (Bardi, 2017).

Less notable, however, are the social and geopolitical effects of retaliation, which would likewise be wrecking and would make gradually expanding influences in different countries. Specialists and peace activists have attempted to raise the open consciousness of "conflict estimations," yet their endeavors have so far had constrained adequacy. A superior open comprehension of the full scope of conclusive effects would help leaders, for balancing the region they would seek new strategies.

How far to nuclear midnight?

Given the current structure of the forces and the doctrines of these two countries, what is the likelihood and extent of a potential utilization of atomic weapons? Approximately, Pakistan has a store of 130– 140 warheads. India has created an expected 110– 120 atomic warheads. India also has built up a ballistic missile submarine, the Arihant, which have the capability to fire atomic warheads, while Pakistan is building up its own sea-based impediment. The arsenal India has and which are capable nuclear missiles with ranges from 250 to 5,000 kilometers. Though, India's warheads would in all likelihood be conveyed by one of its bomber aircraft, Pakistan will be using missiles for the delivery of the system, the reason is that India is a considerably bigger target (Gates & Roy, 2017).

A nearby look at Indian and Pakistani doctrine it to clear that both countries are considering seriously the nuclear use. Initially, a no first use policy was adopted by India, but the actual doctrine was to depend on gigantic striking back. Nonetheless, the option which the New Delhi has is that if someone attack on the existence of India then they can carry out a lower level of nuclear attack in response to any threat. The reason behind these are two. The attack by the militants who are purportedly supported by Pakistan on the Indian civilian centers and military bases which make the people of India angry and they pressurized the government to react (Korb, 2017). In addition, according to some Indian leaders, if the world powers like United States interfere specifically, is probably going to stop the confrontation between India and Pakistan at the beginning, consequently

giving the preferred standpoint to Pakistan in the event that it makes the principal move? There are a few signs that India is thinking about counterforce strikes against Pakistani atomic resources in the beginning periods of a military encounter. Additionally, India's No First Use approach has been dissolved by verbiage debilitating atomic use in striking back for organic or substance attack on Indian powers (Babu, 2017).

Pakistan did not choose the No first use policy because of her small size and inadequacies in the conventional war. The Pakistan government have shown that their edge for atomic use, despite an Indian attack, is on the lower side. In spite of the fact that it has operational medium-range ballistic missiles in which some are those which can approach the Indian land.

Recently, the major concentration of Pakistan was to producing missiles which are short range and which can carry the nuclear warheads; for example, the Nasr, which has a range of 60-kilometer, is obviously proposed for frontline usage and worryingly, more helpless against robbery or unapproved use on the grounds that it would in all likelihood be sent amid dynamic battle when officers would be given pre-assigned authority to dispatch in the field.

The danger of an unapproved atomic explosion, regardless of whether unintentionally or by subversive activity, should likewise be considered. In the climate of shared doubt that portrays India– Pakistan relations, an unapproved or unintentional explosion may well trigger retributory attacks. There is no assurance that a restricted atomic exchange would not heighten (Mitra, 2017).

During the crises the leaders of both the countries have made an open nuclear threat to each other and also the behavior of the leaders of Pakistan and India was also not good to each other. Astoundingly, the global communities were highly afraid of the potentiality of the use of nuclear weapons, the general population of the two nations was more hopeful. They seemed to have more confidence in the quality of common discouragement than the world's specialists.

During the recent crises, in October 2016, the Indian government-directed "surgical strikes" over the Line of Control on the terrorist camps in which they were planning to attack India. A survey of potential voters in regional elections days after the fact demonstrated that 91 percent of respondents bolstered the strikes (Shrotryia & Mazumdar, 2017).

Consequences of nuclear war.

The repercussion of the use of a nuclear bomb is very devastated; therefore, the people who are supporting the use of nuclear have no understanding of the consequences. It is possible that on the one hand, they see a nuclear as a big bomb but on the other side if the nuclear bomb is used then it will bring a devastating destruction on the larger area, so they may be willing to use only nuclear threat instead of the use of the bomb. So preferably it is very good if the people have the real picture of the aftermath of the use of the nuclear bomb (Ruble, 2017).

Alex Wellerstein introduced a free online program, called NUKEMAP, this program will show you the effects of the nuclear explosions with the help of google maps. In India, Hyderabad is the fifth largest commercial area in the country and if Pakistan strikes first on this area because Hyderabad is the bigger target which gave strong implications to the enemy to attack. But it is on a lower rung of the escalation ladder than India's largest cities. It also happens to be home to India's Nuclear Fuel Complex which might be manufacturing nuclear weapon cores. It is possibly a manufacturing and storage site for India's short-range Prithvi missiles (Sharma, 2017).

If the city of Hyderabad bomb with 20 kilotons, then around 436000 immediate fatalities will be produced. The estimation of the rate of fatalities depends on many other things like at which time the explosion happened. The fatalities would be half if the bomb detonated close to the ground rather than in the air but at the same time, the annihilative radioactive rays will be generated at the 13 square kilometers.

The NUKEMAP application has the facility to show you the demolition of the infrastructure like fire stations and hospitals. If the explosion takes place in the air the damaging rate would be maximum and nearly the 150 medical facilities will be destroyed in Hyderabad (Sarkar, 2017).

New Delhi is the capital of India and if the nuclear detonate in the city as we know the New Delhi is the very congested city and it would be the major target. If a 20 kilotons bomb detonates in the city that according to the estimation of NUKEMAP the immediate fatalities will be 260,000 and round about 100000 people will be injured. The fatalities rate would be more and more if we detonate the bomb with the same warhead in the different cities of the New Delhi which are highly dense with the population. A 100 rads per hour radiation will be produced if the burst will have occurred on the surface over 182 square kilometers – some of the most densely populated areas of the world (Eaves, 2017). If the winds are blowing in the direction towards Pakistan and a 100 kiloton bomb is to be detonated on the surface in the New Delhi and in which a half of a material undertake fission, then a 100 rads radiation will produce all the way to the border of Pakistan. if Islamabad, the capital city of Pakistan was to be attacked by India with a 20 kiloton bomb and the bomb detonated in the air then the estimated casualties will be 100000, Islamabad will be better than New Delhi because it is newer established city and also the city is not densely populated (Calhoun, 2017).

NUKEMAP using openly accessible data gives a convergence that enables peoples to set distinctive parameters for explosions on any destinations of their picking. It draws on Google Maps, and in addition databases of the normal populace in particular territories, and utilization standard estimations of physical impacts (Sproull). The evaluations specified here ought to be brought with alert since they depend on flawed learning of nearby conditions.

Estimation of the calamities and causalities will be different due to the time of the day, the correct area of Ground Zero, temperature, the pressure of the air, wind direction, the solidness of new construction, regardless of whether the populace had preemptive awareness. Wallerstein display is express its presumptions and the confinements thereof (Launer, 2017). Specialists have additionally

made more logical models of particular situations. When the risk of nuclear war dwindles between Russia and United States then the experts turn their attention towards South Asia and show the consequences of nuclear war if occurred in this region. models assume lower yields for individual warheads, as well as a smaller number of detonations, than Cold War models (Sagan, 2017).

As indicated by Alan Robock, the nature researcher and the Owen Brian the barometrical researcher inspected that a large number of peoples will die if a war breaks out between India and Pakistan. Prior reproductions by Robock, Toon, and a few different fellow workers anticipated that 100 bombs of the size of Hiroshima warheads exploded in air in the cities of South Asia would infuse such a great amount of smut into the air that worldwide normal precipitation would fall by almost 10 percent for a couple of years thereafter – and even following 10 years, worldwide normal surface air temperatures would stay 0.5 degrees Celsius underneath typical (Liska, White, Holley, & Oglesby, 2017).

More than a billion of peoples would be affected as a result of a famine, as per a 2012 preparation paper by Doctor Ira Helfand. Use the world economy as a model, the conclusion of the paper that if the nuclear war between Pakistan and India breaks out then due to that a 215 million over the worldwide will face malnutrition for the period of 10 years and would likewise put in danger the 925 million individuals who are as of now constantly starved. according to the estimation of Helfand's for the quantity of individual who might be added to the moves of the malnourished is on the lower side since it accepts that business sectors keep on operating regularly (Helfand et al., 2017).

As displaying methods enhance, suppositions particular to South Asia can be integrated; for example, Stanford University environmental change scientist Stephen H. Schneider set that in case of nuclear detonations, in light of the fact that the sun is more grounded in the sub-tropical latitudes, warmed crest of smoke would hang higher into the stratosphere than in the northern latitudes. The subsequent "atomic winter" would, along these lines, be more serious (Roy, 2017). It is additionally

essential to consider the impacts of a South Asian atomic war on the Himalayan juncture, the essential wellspring of water and ailment for 33% of the total population of the world.

Nepali columnist and scientist Kunda Dixit have anticipated that aftermath would diffuse eastbound to the Tibetan level, infusing radioactivity into this delicate biological community. In more intricate studies, the particularities of fuel utilize, and the particular rates of ignitable material into different cities South Asia will be considered (Müller, 2017).

The social and political impacts of a regional war.

Impacts on the physical condition are just a single part of what we have to think about the consequence of the nuclear explosion. Urban organizers, sociologists, and life scientists should need to know the about the impacts on social infrastructure. The writer Amitav Ghosh anticipated the reverse counting that if a nuclear weapon of 15 kilotons detonates in New Delhi: and billions of people start to walk in the streets of New Delhi then many of them will be burnt and the others will be serious wounds. Food will not be available, shortage of water, and no chance of restorative care. Pestilences will start abruptly" (Baylis, Owens, & Smith, 2017).

In India and Pakistan, there will 1 bed in the hospital for one thousand inhabitants. Indeed, even in the capital of India, the availability of per thousand inhabitants are 1.4 beds. More interestingly the locations of the main hospital in the New Delhi are very close to the city administration center, a discussion above about the potentiality of the ground zero. According to the experts' views that in the implementation of the civil defense will be very difficult in Pakistan and India. Shortfalls in space and budgetary assets ruin the two governments and private people from accumulating indispensable things (Chhetri, 2017).

Impact on the politics of Pakistan and India are significantly difficult to foresee. The word versatility is frequently used to depict India's steady multiparty majority rules system, which flourishes in the midst of destitution and blatant personality clashes. On universal lists, for example,

the Fragile States Index, India keeps on posting generally cheering scores. However, its financial and social framework is regularly unfit to adapt to the strains of a quickly developing populace and economy (Hassan, Afridi, & Khan, 2017). It is probably going to lock even with the monstrous disturbance that an atomic attack would cause. A terrorist attack on the commercial capital Mumbai in 2008 uncovered many gaps in the national crisis reaction system of India. Significantly heavier-than-typical precipitation has incapacitated Mumbai for quite a long time (Chhetri, 2017).

India is the Hindu majority state and Muslims in India are in minority, in case if Pakistan attack on India then the Muslims in India will face the consequences and they will face the retaliation from the Hindu community which causes the races riots. according to some of the expert's opinion that the religious minded people in Pakistan are not in favor of nuclear attack because they know that the after the attack the Muslims in India will be jeopardized.

Despite, the terrorist attack on the locations where Muslims were killed, so this can't be depended on as an obstruction. There is no doubt that the government of Pakistan very easy to be thrown out. In the list of most fragile states, Pakistan is on the 14th, and India is in the 70th position (Pant, 2017), and more disturbingly in the last five years, the position of Pakistan in the list of fragility are going downward continuously. Also, one more important feature of the Pakistani state is that it is the most politically unstable country.

Although, from the last three decades, the state has been split by a more profound level-headed discussion about its exceptional personality, in the year of 2002, Pakistan was under pressure to solve the dispute of border with India, Pakistan's Prime Minister needed to prompt to the people of Pakistan in a noteworthy discourse: "our identity is Pakistan, our homeland" (Waqar-un-Nisa, 2017). Despite the fact that he confirmed that "The decision of the majority is agreeable to a dynamic Islamic state," the religious radicals that he was denouncing against keep on pushing to establish a religious government. More recently a terrorist group attack on the main places like they attack the Red Mosque in the capital of Pakistan, Headquarter of Pakistan Navy and Army Public

School in Peshawar under the administration of Pakistan Army. If we talk about the security of the nuclear assets then both Pakistan and India fail in the protection of their nuclear assets.

According to the current ranking of the Nuclear Threat Initiative, both these two countries are rank third and fourth from the bottom, correspondingly to prevent the nuclear assets from theft. The major issues behind the theft were found by the center for public integrity was the reliability of the personnel and in India the procedures for the safety against the theft of the nuclear material. On the other side of the border in Pakistan, the major problem is the unstable government, they can be anytime takeover by the military or by Islamic militant groups, and there is also a high risk of the deviation of the weapons and the other assets which can be used (Guha, 2017).

The leaders of Pakistan and India likely to face extraordinary, maintained strain to react both to the setbacks and the incitements if the nuclear war starts between these countries. According to a survey in 2012, almost 60 percent of Pakistani and Indians consider each other as a serious threat. After the attacks on the Indian base in September 2016, the users of the social media highly demanded that the India should use nuclear weapons against Pakistan. Pakistani pioneers have likewise blamed India for instigating residential agitation in the volatile region of Pakistan and have emphasized their purpose to strike back (Sechser & Fuhrmann, 2017).

Geopolitical fallout.

If a war occurred between Pakistan and India, then how should the world react? The closest neighbor of both these countries are very poor and are not stable, after the use of nuclear weapons the neighbor countries will be ruin economically and ecologically, and also will be affected badly. A large number of peoples will be migrated from India to Nepal and Bangladesh. The outcomes for China would be for the most part biological, despite the fact that Beijing would stress over the lessons that its weaker, unsteady partner North Korea would draw. As a matter of fact, the impacts of this demonstrations will be the blunt worry for the leaders in the rest of the world, once they had

started to adapt to the disturbance of correspondence, exchange courses, infrastructure of the information technology, and conditions of the environment (Chan, 2017).

The nuclear weapon was used 72 years ago, and from that time, use of the nuclear weapon is unfathomable, just about forbidden. However, the forbidden is not reflex nor enduring; it is kept up through the endeavors of the strategists of the military, specialists, and government officials to delegitimize the possibility that atomic weapons are simply one more asset of war.

Leaders of the few countries in South Asia will confront a lot of pressure for procuring nuclear weapons if the deterrence fails in this region. This might be valid for US partners in Asia and also center power nations somewhere else that had betrayed the atomic weapons alternative before. In the unstable Middle East, Iran, Israel, and Saudi Arabia would presumably be most influenced by this weight. The United States would need to decrease this strain by proposing more impregnable security assurance (Toon, Robock, Mills, & Xia, 2017).

If the citizens and leaders of both the countries witness the devastation of the nuclear war, then that will compel the people of both the countries to start the movements for the elimination of nuclear weapons. Despite that, this will not be possible due to two reasons, first the attention of the leaders can be diverted from handling the repercussion of the nuclear war; secondly, the basic hurdle for the dismantling or elimination will remain today and forever (Tertrais, 2017).

For handling the consequences of the use of the nuclear weapons in South Asia Russia and USA should play their role and to aware both the countries about the consequences of nuclear war. There is no doubt that the economies of both the countries will be badly affected, the world powers are able to regain. In the year of 2015 the total United States of America imports from the India was only 2.1 percent, and in the year of 2016, India was the largest export market for the Goods of the United States of America (Laurence, 2017), but as we know the maneuvering of Russia and United States will fail for stopping the disaster in the region of South Asia. Also, the status of both these countries will also be affected in the international community. For the rebuilding of both these

countries at a larger economic cost, they will be called upon. This will particularly be effective for the USA, which has assigned Pakistan as a noteworthy non-NATO partner and is at the same time looking to fortify relations with India (Krieger, 2017).

Public awareness.

Lastly, a part of the nuclear arms reduction movement was focusing on the impacts of nuclear weapons on the humanity. The nuclear diplomacy in South Asia is an interesting part of the political use which may be called the estimates of the Armageddon. Either the policy choices will be affected which holding an important question in the region: do the people of both the countries know about the aftermath of the nuclear war? Activists of Anti-nuclear weapons endeavored to disseminate awareness about the consequences of nuclear war but they are thwarted due to, media do not play their role, the political leadership of both the countries do not show their interest towards this critical issue and also due to low literacy rate (Sharma, 2017).

An enhanced understanding of the magnitude of the destruction might be given to the public who are asking their leaders to implement the option of the nuclear. 15 percent of the Pakistanis and Indians in the total population are using the application of the NUKEMAP and setting detonations in the countries of the enemies. This shows that the use of the internet in Pakistan and India are very low. Among the world most disruptive places, Pakistan and India are also one of them. Pakistan and India are among those countries who are the victim of the terrorism and so many times both these countries are targeted by the terrorist activities so due to this India is on the 6th and Pakistan is on the 4th position on the worldwide terrorism ranking countries (Rietiker, 2017).

Due to terrorism in the world, the highest number of deaths Pakistan is also included in the ranking of the top ten countries. Under the continuous topsy-turvy, terror and scarcity the people of Pakistan and India asking their governments to attack the enemies with the nuclear weapons to eradicate the

fear of terror conclusively. This sense of hopelessness, mixed with the psychic numbness that nuclear weapons seem to promote, mitigates the horror of a nuclear Armageddon (Colbourn, 2017). Therefore, endeavors from the other countries of the world to fear them and to provide them the estimates of the aftermath of the nuclear attack may be a little bit successful. A strained border standoff between Pakistan and India in the year of 2002 in which a threat of nuclear war was included, a report was leaked from the department of the defense of the United States assessed that if a nuclear war occurs between these two states then the instant rate of deaths will be 12 million and the gravely harmed peoples will be 7 millions.

Just exposures by people with great influence at the time will uncover whether these appraisals had an impact (Nah, 2017). So, the spreading of awareness in the peoples of Pakistan and India about the repercussions of the explosion of the nuclear is possible? If the right way is adopted, then the literacy rate which is low in both these countries is not a barrier necessarily. Even though as compared to the print media which is not in the reach of everybody the use of television is increased, we can say that millions of peoples in both the countries are watching television every day.

CONCLUSIONS.

Millions of peoples are internet subscribers and most of them are using the internet through their mobile phones as we know that in the social media has been exploded in Pakistan and India from the last few years. And the new media segment practices a colossal impact on political conduct – as confirmed by the three-year prohibition on YouTube in Pakistan due to fears that a few recordings were stirring vicious dissents. Could expand open mindfulness be converted into political activity? So that was the most troublesome progress simultaneously (Noreen & Khan).

As we know that both countries Pakistan and India are democratic countries and as compare to India, Pakistan democracy is new, and it has successfully completed a decade now. Elections center on bread-and-butter problems, and it is not possible for a political party to discuss nuclear policies amid the election crusade. Endeavors for spreading the awareness among the peoples will be a good beset at influencing the influencers (Achilleas, 2017). The aim of this should embolden the Pakistan and India media elites, journalists who are doing online publication, host of the talk shows and many more, all of them must know about the cost and obstacles of the nuclear war.

Apart from this, a new computer application which is called a NUKEMAP should be easy to access to everyone and which will also be a good start to know about the destructions of the nuclear exchange (Natarajan, 2017).

BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES.

1. Achilleas, P. (2017). Introduction Export Control Theory and Practice of Export Control (pp. 3-16): Springer.
2. Babu, B. R. (2017). Globalisation, South Asian Insecurity ‘Glocalisation’and. The Politics and Culture of Globalisation: India and Australia.
3. Bardi, U. (2017). Managing Collapse The Seneca Effect (pp. 139-167): Springer.
4. Baylis, J., Owens, P., & Smith, S. (2017). The globalization of world politics: An introduction to international relations: Oxford University Press.
5. Calhoun, M. A. (2017). Averting a New Nuclear Arms Race.
6. Chan, A. M. (2017). Redefining Nuclear: Exploring Stigma, Climate Change, and the Adoption of Nuclear Power in Southeast Asia.
7. Chhetri, N. (2017). Civil wars in South Asia: State, sovereignty, development: Taylor & Francis.

8. Colbourn, S. (2017). 'Cruising toward nuclear danger': Canadian anti-nuclear activism, Pierre Trudeau's peace mission, and the transatlantic partnership. *Cold War History*, 1-18.
9. Eaves, E. (2017). NUKEMAP creator Alex Wellerstein puts nuclear risk on the radar. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, 1-4.
10. Gates, S., & Roy, K. (2017). *Limited War in South Asia: From Decolonization to Recent Times*: Routledge.
11. Guha, R. (2017). *India after Gandhi: The history of the world's largest democracy*: Pan Macmillan.
12. Hassan, M., Afridi, M. K., & Khan, M. I. (2017). Environmental diplomacy in South Asia: Considering the environmental security, conflict and development nexus. *Geoforum*, 82, 127-130.
13. Helfand, I., Haines, A., Ru, T., Kristensen, H., Lewis, P., & Mian, Z. (2017). *e Growingreat of Nuclear War and the Role of the Health Community*.
14. Korb, L. J. (2017). Rising tensions, nuclear modernizations: How Washington can turn down the heat. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, 73(3), 173-176.
15. Krieger, D. (2017). *The challenge of abolishing nuclear weapons*: Routledge.
16. Launer, J. (2017). Doctors and the prevention of nuclear war. *Postgraduate medical journal*, 93(1096), 111-112.
17. Laurence, W. L. (2017). *Dawn over zero: The story of the atomic bomb*: Pickle Partners Publishing.
18. Liska, A. J., White, T. R., Holley, E. R., & Oglesby, R. J. (2017). Nuclear weapons in a changing climate: probability, increasing risks, and perception. *Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development*, 59(4), 22-33.
19. Mitra, S. K. (2017). *Politics in India: structure, process and policy*: Routledge.

20. Müller, H. (2017). The Nuclear Non-proliferation Treaty in Jeopardy? Internal Divisions and the Impact of World Politics. *The International Spectator*, 52(1), 12-27.
21. Nah, L. T. (2017). *Security, Economics and Nuclear Non-Proliferation Morality: Keeping or Surrendering the Bomb*: Springer.
22. Natarajan, S. (2017). Understanding the Role of Media in South Asia Intercultural Relations and Ethnic Conflict in Asia (pp. 137-163): IGI Global.
23. Noreen, M. S., & Khan, T. M. *An Analysis of Indo-Pakistan Nuclear Doctrines*.
24. Pant, S. (2017). *Mob Violence and Militancy: The Case of Indian Muslims*.
25. Rietiker, D. (2017). *Humanization of Arms Control: Paving the Way for a World Free of Nuclear Weapons*: Taylor & Francis.
26. Roy, K. (2017). *The Nuclear Shadow over South Asia, 1947 to the Present*: Routledge.
27. Rublee, M. R. (2017). *India-Pakistan nuclear diplomacy: constructivism and the prospects for nuclear arms control and disarmament in South Asia*: Taylor & Francis.
28. Sagan, S. D. (2017). The Korean Missile Crisis: Why Deterrence Is Still the Best Option. *Foreign Aff.*, 96, 72.
29. Sarkar, J. (2017). Managing nuclear risk in South Asia: An Indian response. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, 73(1), 59-61.
30. Sechser, T. S., & Fuhrmann, M. (2017). *Nuclear Weapons and Coercive Diplomacy*: Cambridge University Press.
31. Sharma, S. (2017). Endogenous Nuclear Deterrence: The Bomb and Security in South Asia. *Jadavpur Journal of International Relations*, 20(2), 178-205.
32. Shrotryia, V. K., & Mazumdar, K. (2017). The History of Well-Being in South Asia The Pursuit of Human Well-Being (pp. 349-380): Springer.
33. Sproull, D. C. *Kinetic Energy Weapons*.

34. Tertrais, B. (2017). "On The Brink"—Really? Revisiting Nuclear Close Calls Since 1945. *The Washington Quarterly*, 40(2), 51-66.
35. Toon, O. B., Robock, A., Mills, M., & Xia, L. (2017). Asia Treads the Nuclear Path, Unaware That Self-Assured Destruction Would Result from Nuclear War. *The Journal of Asian Studies*, 1-20.
36. Waqar-un-Nisa. (2017). Pakistan-India Equation: Determinants, Dynamics and the Outlook. *Policy Perspectives: The Journal of the Institute of Policy Studies*, 14(1), 23-57.

DATA OF THE AUTHORS.

1. **Nawab Sher.** PhD scholar of International Relations, Department of International Relations, Federal Urdu University of Arts Sciences and Technology, Karachi, Pakistan.
E-mail: nawabsher80@gmail.com
2. **Rizwana Jabeen.** Lecturer and PhD Scholar of International Relations, Department of International Relations, Federal Urdu University of Arts Sciences and Technology Karachi, Pakistan. E-mail: rizjab2003@hotmail.com
3. **Sayedadaud.** Ph.D, Greenwich University, Karachi, Pakistan. E-mail: sayedadaud@gmail.com

RECIBIDO: 2 de junio del 2019.

APROBADO: 16 de junio del 2019.